IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

J & J SPORTS PRODUCTIONS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

JORGE ALBERTO HUEZO and VILMA ARELY HUEZO, individually and dba LOS GALLITOS RESTAURANT,

Defendants.

No. C 09-4906 CW

ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION FOR
APPOINTMENT OF
RECEIVER OF
LIQUOR LICENSE
(Docket No. 26)

Plaintiff J & J Sports Productions, Inc. moves for the appointment of a receiver of Defendants' liquor license, bearing number 457819, used by Defendants in the operation of their business, Los Gallitos Restaurant, located at 3005 Willow Pass Road, Suite C, Bay Point, California 94565. Defendants, who have notice of this motion (docket no. 27), have not filed an opposition. The matter was taken under submission and decided on the papers. Having considered all the papers filed by Plaintiff, the Court grants the motion.

DISCUSSION

On July 2, 2010, a default judgment in the amount of \$7,200 was entered in Plaintiff's favor against Defendants Jorge Albert Huezo and Vilma Arely Huezo, individually and dba Los Gallitos

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Restaurant. (Docket No. 19). The judgment is final and no payment has been made. Cook Dec. ¶ 2. The liquor license is an asset of Defendants which has significant value. In support of its motion, Plaintiff cites Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 69(a) and California Code of Civil Procedure §§ 708.610, 708.620 and 708.630.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 69(a) provides that a money judgment obtained in federal court is enforced by a writ of execution and the procedure on execution must generally accord with the procedure of the state where the court is located, but federal statutes govern to the extent they apply. Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 66, a receiver may be appointed by the court. However, Rule 66 does not provide the specifics for appointing a receiver to sell a liquor license in satisfaction of a money judgment. See Office Depot Inc. v. Zuccarini, 596 F.3d 696, 701 (9th Cir. 2010) (Rule 66 does not specify proper location for appointment of a receiver; therefore, state law governs this issue). Because no federal statute applies to the appointment of a receiver for the sale of a liquor license, Rule 69(a) dictates that state law is followed in this instance. See In re Levander, 180 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 1999) (Rule 69(a) allows judgmentcreditors to use state law to collect on their debts).

Under California law, § 708.610 of the California Code of Civil Procedure provides that the provisions of Chapter 5, commencing with Code of Civil Procedure § 564, governs the appointment, qualifications, powers, rights and duties of a receiver. Wells Farqo Fin. Leasing, Inc. v. D & M Cabinets, et al., 177 Cal. App. 4th 59, 70 (2009). Section 564(3) provides that

a receiver may be appointed by the court after judgment, to carry the judgment into effect. Section 568 provides that the receiver has, under the control of the court, the power to take and keep possession of the property, to make transfers, and generally to do such acts respecting the property as the court may authorize. Section 568.5 provides that a receiver may, pursuant to an order of the court, sell real or personal property in the receiver's possession, upon notice and in the manner prescribed by Article 6, commencing with Code of Civil Procedure § 701.510. The sale is not final until confirmed by the court. Id. Section 701.810 provides for the order of distribution of the proceeds of sale.

California Code of Civil Procedure § 708.620 provides:

The court may appoint a receiver to enforce the judgment where the judgment creditor shows that, considering the interest of both the judgment creditor and the judgment debtor, the appointment of a receiver is a reasonable method to obtain the fair and orderly satisfaction of the judgment.

The legislative comment to § 708.620 indicates that, under this section, a receiver may be appointed where a writ of execution would not reach certain property and other remedies appear inadequate. California Code of Civil Procedure § 699.720 provides that an alcoholic beverage license is not subject to levy of execution.

Section 708.630 of the California Code of Civil Procedure provides:

- (a) The judgment debtor's interest in an alcoholic beverage license may be applied to the satisfaction of a money judgment only as provided in this section.
- (b) The Court may appoint a receiver for the purpose of transferring the judgment debtor's interest in an

l

12 |

17 N

18 II

19 |

26 L

|

alcoholic beverage license . . .

The legislative comment to § 708.630 indicates that, because alcoholic beverage licenses are not subject to levy under a writ of execution and because the Alcoholic Beverage Control Act, California Business and Professions Code §§ 23000 et seq., provides detailed procedures for the sale of alcoholic beverage licenses, use of a receiver is appropriate for the sale of such a license to satisfy a money judgment.

Plaintiff indicates that it has contacted Defendants on multiple occasions after the entry of judgment in an effort to obtain payment of the judgment, but Defendants have ignored Plaintiff's communications. Plaintiff also indicates that it has sent post-judgment discovery requests in an attempt to identify and locate Defendants' assets with which to satisfy the judgment, but Defendants have ignored these discovery demands and interrogatories. Plaintiff indicates that installing a "keeper" at Defendants' place of business would be burdensome and expensive, making it an onerous method of securing satisfaction of the judgment.

For the following reasons, Plaintiff has shown good cause for the appointment of a receiver. Defendants have not appeared in this case at all—they did not answer the complaint or oppose the motion for a default judgment and they have not responded to Plaintiff's request for payment or for discovery regarding other assets that might satisfy Plaintiff's judgment. Therefore, Plaintiff has no recourse but to seek satisfaction of its judgment from any of Defendants' assets that it can liquidate. Plaintiff

indicates that the sale of Defendants' alcoholic beverage license is the least burdensome procedure for obtaining satisfaction of its judgment. Defendants have not opposed Plaintiff's motion for the sale of their liquor license, even though they have received notice of it. Under California law, which controls in this instance, the appointment of a receiver is necessary for the sale of a liquor license to satisfy a money judgment. Therefore, the Court grants Plaintiff's motion to appoint a receiver for the purpose of taking possession of and selling Defendants' alcoholic beverage license. Furthermore, as requested by Plaintiff, the Court orders the following:

- (A) the Court appoints Michael G. Kasolas as receiver, to perform the duties and responsibilities listed below, and to be compensated at the expense of Defendants, by a percentage to be determined based upon the amount recovered from the sale of the alcoholic beverage license.
- (B) the receiver shall have the following duties and powers under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 66 and California Code of Civil Procedure § 708.630:
- 1. to take possession and control of Defendants' alcoholic beverage license, pursuant to the applicable state statutes and regulations of the California Department of Alcoholic Beverage Control.
- 2. to file with the California Department of Beverage Control the surrender of the alcoholic beverage license under California Administrative Code tit. 4, § 65.
 - 3. to retain the services of a business opportunity broker who

will place the liquor license on the market for purposes of a competitive sale, if the receiver deems that such a person is necessary.

- 4. to retain the services of an attorney and an accountant, if necessary, to assist in the sale of the liquor license.
- 5. to hold the proceeds of the sale in trust, pending further order of the Court.

Furthermore, Defendants are prohibited from the sale, transfer or other disposition of their alcoholic beverage license pending action of the receiver and they are restrained from interfering with the receiver's efforts to sell the license.

CONCLUSIONS

For the foregoing reasons, the Court grants Plaintiff's motion to appoint a receiver to sell Defendants' alcoholic beverage license to satisfy the judgment awarded in this case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 3/25/2011

Chadidullan CLAUDIA WILKEN

United States District Judge